## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		·
	No. 16-7194	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	.,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
WINDSOR WARNER KESSLER	, III,	
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dis Marvin J. Garbis, Senior District Ju		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Submitted: April 28, 2017		Decided: May 30, 2017
Before SHEDD, FLOYD, and THA	ACKER, Circuit Judg	ges.
Affirmed in part; dismissed in part	by unpublished per	curiam opinion.
Windsor Warner Kessler, III, Ap Attorney, Aaron Simcha Jon Zel Maryland, for Appellee.		

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 16-7194 Doc: 30 Filed: 05/30/2017 Pg: 2 of 2

## PER CURIAM:

Windsor Warner Kessler, III appeals the district court's orders denying his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion, his motion to reconsider, and his motion for a certificate of appealability. By order, we granted a partial certificate of appealability and ordered supplemental briefing on the issue of whether the district court abused its discretion in declining to conduct an evidentiary hearing on Kessler's claim that he was entitled to equitable tolling of the habeas limitations period. We have reviewed the record, including the parties' informal briefs following the issuance of the certificate of appealability, and find no reversible error. Accordingly, as to the claim on which we granted a certificate of appealability, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. United States v. Kessler, Nos. 1:11-cr-00434-MJG-1; 1:14-cv-01894-MJG (D. Md. July 22, 2016; Aug. 5 & 24, 2016). We dismiss the claims on which we previously denied a certificate of appealability, deny Kessler's motion for appointment of counsel and dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

> AFFIRMED IN PART; DISMISSED IN PART