Raymond McCarter v. Warden, Wateree Corr Inst Appeal: 16-7266 Doc: 12 Filed: 04/03/2017 Pg: 1 of 3 Doc. 406465629

## **UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS	3
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

	No. 16-7266	
RAYMOND BRADLEY MCCAR	TER,	
Petitioner - A <sub>I</sub>	ppellant,	
v.		
WARDEN OF WATEREE CORR	ECTIONAL INSTIT	UTION,
Respondent -	Appellee,	
and		
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,		
Respondent.		
Appeal from the United States Dis Hill. Bruce H. Hendricks, District		
Submitted: March 30, 2017		Decided: April 3, 20
Before TRAXLER and WYNN, Ci	ircuit Judges, and HA	MILTON, Senior Circuit Judg
Dismissed by unpublished per curi-	am opinion.	
•		

Appeal: 16-7266 Doc: 12 Filed: 04/03/2017 Pg: 2 of 3

Raymond Bradley McCarter, Appellant Pro Se. Donald John Zelenka, Senior Assistant Attorney General, Columbia, South Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Raymond Bradley McCarter seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that McCarter has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**