Rodger Dale Mitchell v. Karen Pszczolkowski Appeal: 16-7434 Doc: 15 Filed: 04/13/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEA	LS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

_	No. 16-7434
RODGER DALE MITCHELL,	
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,
V.	
KAREN PSZCZOLKOWSKI,	
Respondent - A	Appellee.
-	
Appeal from the United States Dis at Charleston. Joseph R. Goodwin,	trict Court for the Southern District of West Virginia, District Judge. (2:15-cv-12156)
Submitted: March 31, 2017	Decided: April 13, 2017
Before MOTZ, KEENAN, and THA	ACKER, Circuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.
Rodger Dale Mitchell, Appellant F ATTORNEY GENERAL, Charlest	Pro Se. Zachary Aaron Viglianco, OFFICE OF THE con, West Virginia, for Appellee.
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this circuit.

Doc. 406480759

PER CURIAM:

Rodger Dale Mitchell seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Mitchell has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED