US v. Tremayne Blackwell Appeal: 16-7489 Doc: 9 Filed: 03/29/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

FOR THE	FOURTH CIRCUIT
N	No. 16-7489
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff - Appellee,	2,
v.	
	/ELL, a/k/a Little Kenny, a/k/a Tremayne drick Blackwell, a/k/a Kenny, a/k/a Kendrick ayne Oakley,
Defendant - Appella	lant.
	Court for the Western District of North Carolina, at ict Judge. (5:05-cr-00257-RLV-DCK-1)
Submitted: March 20, 2017	Decided: March 29, 2017
Before TRAXLER, SHEDD, and DUNC	CAN, Circuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curiam op	pinion.
	lant Pro Se. William Thomas Bozin, Thomas A. STATES ATTORNEY, Charlotte, North Carolina,

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Doc. 406458989

PER CURIAM:

Tremayne Kendrick Blackwell seeks to appeal his conviction and sentence for conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute cocaine and cocaine base, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846, 851 (2012). We dismiss the appeal as untimely.

In criminal cases, the defendant must file the notice of appeal within 14 days after the entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 4(b)(1)(A). Although this time limit is not jurisdictional, *United States v. Urutyan*, 564 F.3d 679, 685 (4th Cir. 2009), we may raise the Rule 4(b) time bar sua sponte when judicial resources and administration are implicated or the delay has been inordinate, *United States v. Mitchell*, 518 F.3d 740, 750 (10th Cir. 2008).

The district court first entered judgment on February 18, 2009, and entered judgment resentencing Blackwell on December 3, 2015. Blackwell filed his notice of appeal on October 25, 2016, long after the 14-day appeal period expired. Because Blackwell did not file a notice of appeal that was timely or within the time period during which the district court had the authority to extend the appeal period, Fed. R. App. P. 4(b)(4), and because the delay in filing has been inordinate, we dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED