US v. Jermal Daniel Appeal: 16-7492 Doc: 7 Filed: 04/03/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 16-7492
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff - Appellee,
v.
JERMAL DANIELS,
Defendant - Appellant.
Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina at Charlotte. Robert J. Conrad, Jr., District Judge. (3:05-cr-00103-RJC-DCK-2)
Submitted: March 30, 2017 Decided: April 3, 2017
Before TRAXLER and WYNN, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.
Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.
Jermal Daniels, Appellant Pro Se. Amy Elizabeth Ray, Assistant United States Attorney, Asheville, North Carolina, for Appellee.
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Doc. 406465807

Appeal: 16-7492 Doc: 7 Filed: 04/03/2017 Pg: 2 of 2

PER CURIAM:

Jermal Daniels appeals the district court's order denying his 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) (2012) motion for a sentence reduction under Amendment 782. We have reviewed the record and conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion in declining to grant a reduction in Daniels' sentence. *See United States v. Mann*, 709 F.3d 301, 304 (4th Cir. 2013) (providing review standard); *see also Dillon v. United States*, 560 U.S. 817, 825-27 (2010) (explaining that § 3582(c)(2) proceeding is not full resentencing); *United States v. Smalls*, 720 F.3d 193, 195-96 (4th Cir. 2013) (recognizing that district court is presumed, absent contrary indication, to have considered relevant factors when ruling on § 3582(c)(2) motion). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. *United States v. Daniels*, No. 3:05-cr-00103-RJC-DCK-2 (W.D.N.C. Oct. 13, 2016). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED