Filed: 04/05/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

Doc. 406469874

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS	S
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

	No. 16-7498	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	•,	
Plaintiff – Ap	pellee,	
v.		
RENATO TORRES-EGUINO,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dist Greenville. Louise W. Flanagan, FL)		
Submitted: March 30, 2017		Decided: April 5, 2017
Before MOTZ, SHEDD, and WYN	NN, Circuit Judges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Renato Torres-Eguino, Appellant F STATES ATTORNEY, Raleigh, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, O	North Carolina; (Glenn Perry, OFFICE OF THE

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Renato Torres-Eguino seeks to appeal the district court's orders accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge, denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion, and denying a certificate of appealability. The orders are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Torres-Eguino has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED