US v. Joel Arti Appeal: 16-7583 Doc: 6 Filed: 04/06/2017 Pg: 1 of 3

## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEA	LS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

TOK		
	No. 16-7583	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	λ,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
JOEL DEVON ARTIS,		
Defendant - A	appellant.	
	No. 16-7584	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Λ,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
JOEL DEVON ARTIS,		
Defendant - A	appellant.	
		Eastern District of North Carolina, ge. (5:12-cr-00091-D-1; 5:12-cr-
Submitted: March 24, 2017		Decided: April 6, 2017

Appeal: 16-7583 Doc: 6 Filed: 04/06/2017 Pg: 2 of 3

Before THACKER and HARRIS, Circuit Judges, and DAVIS, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Joel Devon Artis, Appellant Pro Se. Jane J. Jackson, Assistant United States Attorney, Laura Howard, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 16-7583 Doc: 6 Filed: 04/06/2017 Pg: 3 of 3

PER CURIAM:

Joel Devon Artis appeals from the district court's denial of his 18 U.S.C.

§ 3582(c)(2) (2012) motions to reduce his drug possession sentences in light of

Amendment 782 to the Sentencing Guidelines. The district court first stated that it lacked

the discretion to reduce Artis' sentences. Our subsequent decision in *United States v*.

Muldrow, 844 F.3d 437, 439 (4th Cir. 2016), undermines this conclusion. However, the

district court alternately reasoned that, even if it had the discretion to lower Artis'

sentences, it would decline to do so in order to protect public safety and in view of Artis'

poor performance on supervision. We conclude that this alternate ruling does not

constitute an abuse of discretion.

Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. We dispense with oral argument

because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before

this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED** 

3