US v. Daniel Buczek Appeal: 16-7639 Doc: 17

Filed: 05/25/2017 Pg: 1 of 3

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS	S
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

No. 16-7639
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff - Appellee,
V.
DANIEL R. BUCZEK,
Claimant - Appellant,
and
BERNARD VON NOTHAUS,
Defendant.
Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, at Statesville. Richard L. Voorhees, District Judge. (5:09-cr-00027-RLV-DCK-1)
Submitted: May 23, 2017 Decided: May 25, 2017
Before KING, AGEE, and WYNN, Circuit Judges.
Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.
Daniel R. Buczek, Appellant Pro Se. Benjamin Bain-Creed, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Charlotte, North Carolina, for Appellee.

Doc. 406538280

Appeal: 16-7639 Doc: 17 Filed: 05/25/2017 Pg: 2 of 3

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Daniel R. Buczek appeals the district court's order denying his Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) motion* for relief from the court's prior order dismissing Buczek's petition claiming an ownership interest in certain property subject to criminal forfeiture. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, although we grant Buczek leave to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *See United States v. Buczek*, No. 5:09-cr-00027-RLV-DCK-1 (W.D.N.C. Oct. 20, 2016). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

^{*} Buczek's motion was self-styled, in part, as a "Writ of Error Objecting & Dismissing Order of Richard L. Voorhees." The district court construed this motion, in which Buczek also sought sanctions against the federal prosecutors involved in the underlying criminal matter, as a Rule 60(b) motion for relief from judgment. Buczek does not contest this characterization on appeal.