Francis Mbewe v. Frank Bishop Appeal: 16-7760 Doc: 11

Filed: 06/13/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

Doc. 406563725

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS	
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

N	o. 16-7760
FRANCIS C. MBEWE,	
Petitioner - Appella	nt,
v.	
FRANK BISHOP; ATTORNEY GENE	RAL OF MARYLAND,
Respondents - Appe	ellees.
Appeal from the United States District James K. Bredar, District Judge. (1:14-c	Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore.
Submitted: May 18, 2017	Decided: June 13, 2017
Before NIEMEYER, SHEDD, and AGE	E, Circuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curiam op	pinion.
	e. Edward John Kelley, OFFICE OF THE AND, Baltimore, Maryland, for Respondents.
Unpublished opinions are not binding pr	ecedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 16-7760 Doc: 11 Filed: 06/13/2017 Pg: 2 of 2

PER CURIAM:

Francis C. Mbewe seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Mbewe has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED