

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 17-1138**

---

OSCAR OSMIN SERRANO-AGUILAR, a/k/a Oscar Osmin Serrano, a/k/a Oscar Arnulfo-Espinoza,

Petitioner,

v.

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney General,

Respondent.

---

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals.

---

Submitted: August 24, 2017

Decided: August 31, 2017

---

Before NIEMEYER, SHEDD, and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges.

---

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

John T. Riely, Rockville, Maryland, for Petitioner. Chad A. Readler, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Linda Wernery, Assistant Director, Sarah Byrd, Office of Immigration Litigation, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington, D.C., for Respondent.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Oscar Osmin Serrano-Aguilar, a native and citizen of El Salvador, petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board) dismissing his appeal from the immigration judge's denial of his request for withholding of removal.\* We have thoroughly reviewed the record, including the transcript of Serrano-Aguilar's merits hearing and all supporting evidence. We conclude that the record evidence does not compel a ruling contrary to any of the administrative factual findings, *see* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(4)(B) (2012), and that substantial evidence supports the Board's decision, *see Gomis*, 571 F.3d at 359.

Accordingly, we deny the petition for review for the reasons stated by the Board. *See In re Serrano-Aguilar* (B.I.A. Dec. 30, 2016). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*PETITION DENIED*

---

\* Serrano-Aguilar does not challenge the denial of his request for protection under the Convention Against Torture or the denial of his asylum claim as untimely and has therefore waived appellate review. *See Ngarurih v. Ashcroft*, 371 F.3d 182, 189 n.7 (4th Cir. 2004). In any event, we lack jurisdiction to review the finding that Serrano-Aguilar's asylum application was untimely filed. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1158(a)(3) (2012); *Gomis v. Holder*, 571 F.3d 353, 358-59 (4th Cir. 2009).