Filed: 06/26/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

Doc. 406579434

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

FOR 7	ΓHE FOURTH CIR	CUIT
_	No. 17-1161	_
JOHN S. STRITZINGER,		
Plaintiff - App	ellant,	
v.		
BRIAN MOYNIHAN, Bank of An LOWELL MCADAM, Verizon; ATTORNEY-SC; US SUPREM PARTNERS,	KATHERINE WE	RIGHT; UNITED STATES
Defendants - A	Appellees.	
-		-
Appeal from the United States I Columbia. Terry L. Wooten, Chief		
Submitted: June 22, 2017		Decided: June 26, 2017
Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, an	nd FLOYD and HA	RRIS, Circuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	_
John S. Stritzinger, Appellant Pro S	Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

John S. Stritzinger seeks to appeal the district court's order adopting the magistrate judge's recommendation to dismiss his complaint for failing to comply with a court order. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

When the United States or its officer or agency is a party, the notice of appeal must be filed no more than 60 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(B), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5), or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court's order was entered on the docket on May 27, 2016. The notice of appeal was filed on February 2, 2017. Because Stritzinger failed to file a timely notice of appeal or to obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, deny Stritzinger's pending motions, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED