UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_____

No. 17-1252

_____

REGINALD D. EVANS,

       Plaintiff - Appellant,

        v.

YORK COUNTY, INCORPORATED; CITY OF ROCK HILL,

       Defendants - Appellees,

       and

BH MANAGEMENT; PACES RIVER APARTMENT; CLIFFORD BERINSKY; THOMAS HOWARD; BROWNLEE LAW FIRM PLLC; DINA D. BIGGS; ALYSSA PRUITT; LAND STAR TRANSPORTATION LOGISTIC, INCORPORATED,

       Defendants.

_____

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Rock Hill. Joseph F. Anderson, Jr., Senior District Judge. (0:15-cv-04954-JFA)

_____

Submitted: June 20, 2017   Decided: June 22, 2017

_____

Before SHEDD, WYNN, and DIAZ, Circuit Judges.

_____

Dismissed and remanded by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Reginald D. Evans, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Reginald Evans seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and dismissing without prejudice his amended civil complaint. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2012), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); *Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp.*, 337 U.S. 541, 545-47 (1949). Because the deficiencies identified by the district court may be remedied through the filing of an amended complaint that alleges sufficient facts in support of Evans' claims, we conclude that the order Evans seeks to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order. *Goode v. Cent. Va. Legal Aid Soc'y, Inc.*, 807 F.3d 619, 623 (4th Cir. 2015); *Domino Sugar Corp. v. Sugar Workers Local Union 392*, 10 F.3d 1064, 1066-67 (4th Cir. 1993).

Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction and remand with instructions to allow Evans to file an amended complaint. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED AND
REMANDED