## **UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEAL	S
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

	THE FOURTH CIRC		
_	No. 17-1327		
ΓERRY D. QUATTLEBAUM,			
Plaintiff - App	ellant,		
v.			
BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; RICHARD G. REESE, JR., Reside		HOME LOANS,	INC.;
Defendants - A	Appellees.		
-			
Appeal from the United States Dis Γheodore D. Chuang, District Judg		_	t Greenbelt
Submitted: August 1, 2017		Decided: Augu	ıst 15, 2017
Before DIAZ, FLOYD, and THAC	KER, Circuit Judges.		
Affirmed by unpublished per curian	n opinion.		
Terry D. Quattlebaum, Appellant I LLP, Atlanta, Georgia; Emily Mic Maryland, for Appellees.	_	_	

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Terry D. Quattlebaum filed this action—his second—asserting several claims arising out of the sale of his mortgage and foreclosure on his home. The Defendants moved to dismiss on res judicata grounds. Quattlebaum sought to amend his complaint, but the district court denied the motions to amend and dismissed the complaint as barred by res judicata. Quattlebaum appeals the district court's order denying his motions to amend his complaint as futile. We review de novo a district court's denial of a motion to amend on futility grounds. *United States ex rel. Ahumada v. NISH*, 756 F.3d 268, 274 (4th Cir. 2014). As the district court concluded, res judicata would bar litigation of the claims Quattlebaum sought to add. *See Pueschel v. United States*, 369 F.3d 345, 354-55 (4th Cir. 2004) (providing elements of res judicata). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's ruling. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**