

No. 17-1351

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

---

INTERNATIONAL REFUGEE ASSISTANCE PROJECT, a project of the Urban Justice Center, Inc., on behalf of itself; HIAS, INC., on behalf of itself and its clients; MIDDLE EAST STUDIES ASSOCIATION OF NORTH AMERICA, INC., on behalf of itself and its members; MUHAMMED METEAB; PAUL HARRISON; IBRAHIM AHMED MOHOMED; JOHN DOES #1 & 3; JANE DOE #2, Plaintiffs – Appellees,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United States; DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY; DEPARTMENT OF STATE; OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE; JOHN F. KELLY, in his official capacity as Secretary of Homeland Security; REX W. TILLERSON, in his official capacity as Secretary of State; DANIEL R. COATS, in his official capacity as Director of National Intelligence, Defendants – Appellants.

---

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, No. 8:17-cv-00361 (Chuang, J.)

---

**CORRECTED UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF  
AMICI CURIAE OF SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION,  
AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL  
EMPLOYEES, AND AMERICAN FEDERATION OF TEACHERS  
SUPPORTING PLAINTIFFS-APPELLEES**

---

Nicole G. Berner  
Claire Prestel  
Deborah L. Smith  
Leo Gertner  
SERVICE EMPLOYEES  
INTERNATIONAL UNION  
1800 Massachusetts Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20036  
Telephone: (202) 730-7383  
nicole.berner@seiu.org  
claire.prestel@seiu.org

Steve W. Berman  
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL  
SHAPIRO LLP  
1918 Eighth Avenue, Ste. 3300  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
Telephone: (206) 623-7292  
steve@hbsslaw.com

*Attorneys for Amicus Curiae  
Service Employees  
International Union*

debbie.smith@seiu.org  
leo.gertner@seiu.org

Judith Rivlin  
AMERICAN FEDERATION OF  
STATE, COUNTY AND  
MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES  
1625 L St., NW  
Washington, D.C. 20003

*Attorney for American Federation  
of State, County and Municipal  
Employees*

David J. Strom  
Channing M. Cooper  
AMERICAN FEDERATION  
OF TEACHERS  
555 New Jersey Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
(202) 393-7472

*Attorneys for American  
Federation of Teachers*

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Service Employees International Union (“SEIU”), the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (“AFSCME”), and the American Federation of Teachers (“AFT”) respectfully move for leave to file an amicus curiae brief in support of Plaintiffs-Appellees. A copy of the proposed brief is attached and submitted herewith. Amici respectfully submit that the filing of this attached brief is timely, desirable, and worthy of this Court’s consideration.

## II. ARGUMENT

The goal of any amicus curiae is “to call the court’s attention to ... facts or circumstances in a matter then before it that may otherwise escape its consideration.”<sup>1</sup> The fundamental requirements of Rule 29 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure are that an amicus curiae brief be “relevant” and “desirable.”<sup>2</sup> The proposed brief here satisfies both requirements.

---

<sup>1</sup> 4 Am. Jur. 2d, *Amicus Curiae* § 6 (2004).

<sup>2</sup> Fed. R. App. P. 29(b)(1).

**A. This Court Has Broad Discretion To Allow The Participation Of Amici Curiae**

Permitting a nonparty to submit a brief as amicus curiae is, “with immaterial exceptions, a matter of judicial grace.”<sup>3</sup> Circuit courts have rarely disclosed the considerations weighed when deciding a motion for leave to file an amicus brief. But the courts in the Fourth Circuit have permitted briefs filed by amici who demonstrate “a special interest in the outcome of the suit” and “provide helpful information to the court.”<sup>4</sup> And the Defendants-Appellants have indicated that they do not object to timely filed amicus briefs.

**B. SEIU, AFSCME and AFT Have the Requisite Interest**

SEIU, AFSCME, and AFT are international labor organizations representing millions of working men and women in the United States and Canada employed in the private and public sectors. Members include public school teachers, janitors, security officers, nurses, and long-term care workers who provide quality healthcare, education, and

---

<sup>3</sup> *NOW, Inc. v. Scheidler*, 223 F.3d 615, 616 (7th Cir. 2000).

<sup>4</sup> *Am. Humanist Ass’n v. Maryland-National Capital Park & Planning Comm’n*, 303 F.R.D. 266, 269 (D. Md. 2014).

building services. All three amici have immigrant and foreign-born members directly affected by the challenged Executive Order.

**C. SEIU, AFSCME, and AFT Can Provide Helpful Information To The Court That Will Not Duplicate Arguments Presented By The Parties**

The accompanying amici brief from SEIU, AFSCME, and AFT provides additional information regarding the type of cognizable family-unity harm asserted by the plaintiffs in this case. Furthermore, the brief documents that the impact of the Executive Order on the union members and others across the nation is profound. These immediate, real-world impacts highlight the immediate and irreparable harm that will occur if the Executive Order is allowed to stand.

**D. The Amicus Brief is Timely**

The filing of this motion with the accompanying brief is timely. In this case, the Court has ordered that “any amicus curiae brief in support of Plaintiffs shall be filed by April 19, 2017.” (Dkt. No. 25.) Accordingly, the instant motion and brief are being filed within the time frame set by the Order. Alternatively, and again drawing on the appellate rules, this Court can exercise its discretion, as it deems

necessary and appropriate, and specify a time within which the United States may “answer” the amicus brief from SEIU. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 29(e).

### III. CONCLUSION

The Court should therefore exercise its discretion to permit SEIU, AFSCME, and AFT to file the attached amici brief. Counsel of record are familiar with the scope of the arguments presented by the parties and will not unduly repeat those arguments. Instead, amici draw from communications with union members and their families around the nation. These communications illustrate the profound, widespread, and irreparable harm the Executive Order has caused and would continue to cause if the District Court’s Preliminary Injunction were undone.

DATED: April 19, 2017

By  /s/ Claire Prestel  
Claire Prestel  
Nicole G. Berner  
Deborah L. Smith  
Leo Gertner  
SERVICE EMPLOYEES  
INTERNATIONAL UNION  
1800 Massachusetts Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20036  
Telephone: (202) 730-7383

Judith Rivlin  
AMERICAN FEDERATION OF  
STATE, COUNTY AND  
MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES  
1625 L St., NW  
Washington, D.C. 20003  
*Attorney for American Federation  
of State, County and Municipal  
Employees*

nicole.berner@seiu.org  
claire.prestel@seiu.org  
debbie.smith@seiu.org

Steve W. Berman  
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL  
SHAPIRO LLP  
1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
Telephone: (206) 623-7292  
steve@hbsslaw.com

*Attorneys for Amicus Curiae  
Service Employees International  
Union*

David J. Strom  
Channing M. Cooper  
AMERICAN FEDERATION OF  
TEACHERS  
555 New Jersey Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
(202) 393-7472

*Attorneys for American Federation  
of Teachers*

## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

This motion complies with the type-volume limits because it contains 618 words, excluding the parts exempted by Rule 32(f). This motion complies with the typeface and type-style requirements because it was prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word in 14-point Century.

April 19, 2017

*/s/ Claire Prestel*

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on April 19, 2017, this motion was served on all parties or their counsel of record through the CM/ECF system.

April 19, 2017

*/s/ Claire Prestel*