Tito Knox v. Elena Klimbal Appeal: 17-1620 Doc: 10 Filed: 08/21/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEAL	22
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	No. 17-1620	
TITO KNOX,		
Plaintiff - App	pellant,	
v.		
ELENA KLIMBAL, PMHNPPC;	OFFICER GRAHAM,	
Defendants - A	Appellees.	
Appeal from the United States I Greenville. Henry M. Herlong, Jr.		
Submitted: August 17, 2017		Decided: August 21, 2017
Before KEENAN, THACKER, and	d HARRIS, Circuit Judg	es.
Dismissed and remanded by unpub	olished per curiam opinio	on.
Tito Lemont Knox, Appellant Pro	Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this circ	cuit.

PER CURIAM:

Tito Lemont Knox seeks to appeal the district court's order adopting the magistrate judge's report and recommendation and dismissing without prejudice his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint for failing to state a claim. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2012), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541, 545-47 (1949). Because the deficiencies identified by the district court could be remedied by the filing of an amended complaint, we conclude that the order Knox seeks to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order. See Domino Sugar Corp. v. Sugar Workers Local Union 392, 10 F.3d 1064, 1066-67 (4th Cir. 1993). Accordingly, we remand the case to the district court with instructions to allow Knox to file an amended complaint. See Goode v. Cent. Va. Legal Aid Soc'y, Inc., 807 F.3d 619, 623-24 (4th Cir. 2015). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED AND REMANDED