

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-1621

DAVID GONZALEZ GARCIA; FLOR JESSENIA GEORGE GEORGE;
B.D.G.G.,

Petitioners,

v.

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney General,

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals.

Submitted: December 22, 2017

Decided: January 11, 2018

Before WILKINSON, NIEMEYER, and KING, Circuit Judges.

Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Alison J. Brown, LAW OFFICE OF ALISON J. BROWN LLC, Takoma Park, Maryland,
for Petitioner. Chad A. Readler, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Douglas E. Ginsburg,
Assistant Director, Paul Fiorino, Office of Immigration Litigation, UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington, D.C., for Respondent.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

David Gonzalez Garcia, his wife, Flor Jessenia George George, and their minor child, B.D.G.G., (collectively “Petitioners”), natives and citizens of Honduras, petition for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board) dismissing their appeal from the immigration judge’s denial of their requests for asylum, withholding of removal, and protection under the Convention Against Torture.* We have thoroughly reviewed the record, including the transcript of their merits hearing and all supporting evidence. We conclude that the record evidence does not compel a ruling contrary to any of the administrative factual findings, *see* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(4)(B) (2012), and that substantial evidence supports the Board’s decision, *see INS v. Elias–Zacarias*, 502 U.S. 478, 481 (1992).

We therefore deny the petition for review for the reasons stated by the Board. *In re Gonzalez Garcia* (B.I.A. Apr. 14, 2017). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED

* Gonzalez Garcia and George George filed separate applications for relief, listing each other as derivative beneficiaries. Their child was included as a derivative beneficiary on both applications.