UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-1665

| | | i |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| DAVID R. CORBIN, | | |
| Plaintiff - App | pellant, | |
| v. | | |
| JUDGE TALMADGE BAGGETT WILLIFORD LAW FIRM; ELLE THE COURT; CHRISTA BAI COURT, | N HANCOCK, TRI | AL ADMINISTRATOR OF |
| Defendants - A | Appellees. | |
| Appeal from the United States Dis Greensboro. Catherine C. Eagles, | | |
| Submitted: September 26, 2017 | | Decided: September 28, 2017 |
| Before NIEMEYER and TRAXL. Judge. | ER, Circuit Judges, | and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit |
| Dismissed by unpublished per curi | am opinion. | |
| David R. Corbin, Appellant Pro Se | | |
| Unpublished opinions are not bind | ing precedent in this | circuit. |

PER CURIAM:

David R. Corbin seeks to appeal the district court's order adopting the magistrate judge's recommendation to deny relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

Parties are accorded 30 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5), or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court's order was entered on the docket on April 21, 2017. The notice of appeal was filed on May 24, 2017. Because Corbin failed to file a timely notice of appeal or to obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED