UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-1851	
MARIA WENDY AGUILLON AMAYA,	
Petitioner,	
v.	
JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney General,	
Respondent.	
	
On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals.	
Submitted: May 21, 2018 Decided: June 11, 20)18
Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, and KING and FLOYD, Circuit Judges.	
Petition denied by unpublished per curiam opinion.	
Anser Ahmad, AHMAD AND ASSOCIATES, McLean, Virginia, for Petitioner. Ch. A. Readler, Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Anthony P. Nicastro, Assista Director, Dana M. Camilleri, Trial Attorney, Office of Immigration Litigation, UNITE STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington, D.C., for Respondent.	an

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Maria Wendy Aguillon Amaya, a native and citizen of El Salvador, petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals (Board) affirming without opinion the Immigration Judge's (IJ) denial of Aguillon Amaya's requests for asylum, withholding of removal, and protection under the Convention Against Torture. We have thoroughly reviewed the record, including the transcript of Aguillon Amaya's merits hearing before the IJ and all supporting evidence. We conclude that the record evidence does not compel a ruling contrary to any of the agency's factual findings, *see* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(4)(B) (2012), and that substantial evidence supports the IJ's decision.* *See INS v. Elias–Zacarias*, 502 U.S. 478, 481 (1992).

Accordingly, we deny the petition for review for the reasons stated by the IJ. *In re Aguillon Amaya* (I.J. Dec. 1, 2016). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

PETITION DENIED

^{*} Where, as here, the Board affirms the IJ's decision without opinion, we "treat the reasoning of the [IJ] [o]rder as that of the [Board] for purposes of our review." *Haoua v. Gonzales*, 472 F.3d 227, 231 (4th Cir. 2007).