Edgar Thomas v. The State of South Carolina
Appeal: 17-1876 Doc: 5 Filed: 09/28/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

Doc. 406701198

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEAL	S
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

	No. 17-1876	
EDGAR THOMAS,		
Plaintiff - App	pellant,	
v.		
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROL	LINA,	
Defendant - A	appellee.	
Appeal from the United States I Columbia. Cameron McGowan Co		
Submitted: September 26, 2017		Decided: September 28, 2017
Before NIEMEYER and TRAXL Judge.	ER, Circuit Judges,	and HAMILTON, Senior Circui
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.	
Edgar Thomas, Appellant Pro Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Edgar Thomas appeals the district court's order adopting the recommendation of the magistrate judge to dismiss Thomas' civil action without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, and its order denying Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) relief. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Thomas v. South Carolina*, No. 3:17-cv-01345-CMC (D.S.C. June 27 & July 19, 2017); *see also Robinson v. Wix Filtration Corp.*, 599 F.3d 403, 407 (4th Cir. 2010) (reviewing Rule 59(e) denial for abuse of discretion). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED