

**UNPUBLISHED**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

---

**No. 17-1885**

---

HAROLD BOYD,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

MILLER PIPELINE,

Defendant - Appellee,

and

CANDICE S. WALKER, Ogletree Deakins,

Defendant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina,  
at Charlotte. Frank D. Whitney, Chief District Judge. (3:16-cv-00278-FDW-DSC)

---

Submitted: January 18, 2018

Decided: January 22, 2018

---

Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, and SHEDD and HARRIS, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Harold Boyd, Appellant Pro Se. Homer Bernard Tisdale, III, OGLETREE DEAKINS  
NASH SMOAK & STEWART, PC, Charlotte, North Carolina, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Harold Boyd appeals the district court's order granting Miller Pipeline's dispositive motions and dismissing for lack of subject matter jurisdiction Boyd's employment discrimination suit, brought pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e to 2000e-17 (2012). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Boyd v. Miller Pipeline*, No. 3:16-cv-00278-FDW-DSC (W.D.N.C. July 13, 2017). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*