Filed: 10/19/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

Doc. 406729855

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEAL	S
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

	TATES COURT OF APPEALS THE FOURTH CIRCUIT
_	No. 17-1920
GURLEY E. GLENN,	
Plaintiff - App	ellant,
v.	
	MIKE MCMORROW, Chief Financial Officer; ecutive Officer, Creedmoor, N. C. Facility,
Defendants - A	Appellees.
-	
Appeal from the United States Dist Raleigh. Louise W. Flanagan, Dist	rict Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, a rict Judge. (5:16-cv-00697-FL)
Submitted: October 17, 2017	Decided: October 19, 2017
Before FLOYD and HARRIS, Circ	uit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia -	am opinion.
Gurley E. Glenn, Appellant Pro Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appell	Se. Patricia Lee Holland, JACKSON LEWIS PC lees.
Unnublished opinions are not hindi	ng pracadant in this circuit

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Gurley E. Glenn seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as moot the two postjudgment motions he filed after the district court dismissed his employment discrimination action. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

Parties are accorded 30 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5), or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court's order was entered on the docket on June 22, 2017. The notice of appeal was filed on July 31, 2017. Because Glenn failed to file a timely notice of appeal or to obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED