Sheila Gaines v. Nancy Berryhill Appeal: 17-2381 Doc: 11

Filed: 04/02/2018 Pg: 1 of 3

Doc. 406927236

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 17-2381		
SHEILA L. GAINES,			
Plaintiff - App	pellant,		
v.			
NANCY A. BERRYHILL,			
Defendant - A	ppellee.		
Appeal from the United States Dis Thomas M. DiGirolamo, Magistrat			at Greenbelt.
Submitted: March 29, 2018		Decided:	April 2, 2018
Before AGEE and DIAZ, Circuit J	udges, and HAMILT	ON, Senior Circuit J	udge.
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.		
Sheila L. Gaines, Appellant Pro Attorney, Office of General Co Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee	ounsel, SOCIAL S		
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.	

PER CURIAM:

Sheila L. Gaines appeals the magistrate judge's order upholding the Administrative Law Judge's (ALJ) denial of Gaines' application for disability insurance benefits.* "In social security proceedings, a court of appeals applies the same standard of review as does the district court. That is, a reviewing court must uphold the determination when an ALJ has applied correct legal standards and the ALJ's factual findings are supported by substantial evidence." Brown v. Comm'r Soc. Sec. Admin., 873 F.3d 251, 267 (4th Cir. 2017) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted). "Substantial evidence is that which a reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support a conclusion. It consists of more than a mere scintilla of evidence but may be less than a preponderance." Pearson v. Colvin, 810 F.3d 204, 207 (4th Cir. 2015) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted). "In reviewing for substantial evidence, we do not undertake to reweigh conflicting evidence, make credibility determinations, or substitute our judgment for that of the ALJ. Where conflicting evidence allows reasonable minds to differ as to whether a claimant is disabled, the responsibility for that decision falls on the ALJ." Hancock v. Astrue, 667 F.3d 470, 472 (4th Cir. 2012) (brackets, citation, and internal quotation marks omitted).

We have reviewed the record and perceive no reversible error. The ALJ applied the correct legal standards in evaluating Gaines' claim for benefits, and the ALJ's factual

^{*} The parties consented to a final disposition by the magistrate judge, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) (2012).

Appeal: 17-2381 Doc: 11 Filed: 04/02/2018 Pg: 3 of 3

findings are supported by substantial evidence. Accordingly, we affirm the magistrate judge's order upholding the denial of benefits. *See Gaines v. Berryhill*, No. 8:16-cv-02539-TMD (D. Md. filed Sept. 30, 2017 & entered Oct. 2, 2017). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED