Wyvonne Jones v. Harold Clarke Appeal: 17-6013 Doc: 12

Filed: 05/26/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

Doc. 406541036

## **UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

·		
_	No. 17-6013	
WYVONNE RONTEA JONES,		
Petitioner - Ap	opellant,	
v.		
HAROLD W. CLARKE, Director	of the Virginia Depa	rtment of Corrections,
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States D. Norfolk. Rebecca Beach Smith, C.		
Submitted: May 23, 2017		Decided: May 26, 2017
Before KING, AGEE, and WYNN	, Circuit Judges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curis	am opinion.	
Wyvonne Rontea Jones, Appellar ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRO		
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

Appeal: 17-6013 Doc: 12 Filed: 05/26/2017 Pg: 2 of 2

## PER CURIAM:

Wyvonne Rontea Jones seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and dismissing his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition as untimely. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) (2012). The magistrate judge recommended that the petition be dismissed and advised Jones that failure to file timely objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation.

The timely filing of specific objections to a magistrate judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when the parties have been warned of the consequences of noncompliance. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Jones has waived appellate review by failing to file objections after receiving proper notice. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**