Michael Stewart v. Harold Clarke Appeal: 17-6047 Doc: 13

Filed: 05/31/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-6047	
MICHAEL LYNN STEWART,	
Petitioner - Appellant,	
v.	
HAROLD W. CLARKE, Director of the Virginia Department of Corrections,	
Respondent - Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virgin Norfolk. Mark S. Davis, District Judge. (2:16-cv-00033-MSD-RJK)	nia, at
Submitted: May 25, 2017 Decided: May 31	, 2017
Before MOTZ, THACKER, and HARRIS, Circuit Judges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.	
Michael Lynn Stewart, Appellant Pro Se. Benjamin Hyman Katz, Assistant At General, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellee.	torney
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.	

Doc. 406546761

PER CURIAM:

Michael Lynn Stewart seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) (2012). The magistrate judge recommended that relief be denied and advised Stewart that failure to file timely objections to this recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the recommendation.

The timely filing of specific objections to a magistrate judge's recommendation is necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when the parties have been warned of the consequences of noncompliance. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Stewart has waived appellate review by failing to file objections. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED