Victor Studevant v. Commonwealth of Virginia
Appeal: 17-6072 Doc: 10 Filed: 05/31/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

Doc. 406546278

UNPUBLISHED

| UNITED STATES COUR | T OF APPEALS |
|--------------------|--------------|
| FOR THE FOURTH | CIRCUIT |

| No. 17-6072 | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| VICTOR W. STUDEVANT, | |
| Petitioner - App | pellant, |
| v. | |
| COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINI | A, |
| Respondent - A | appellee. |
| * * | istrict Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at trict Judge. (1:16-cv-01499-GBL-MSN) |
| Submitted: May 25, 2017 | Decided: May 31, 2017 |
| Before MOTZ, THACKER, and HA | ARRIS, Circuit Judges. |
| Dismissed by unpublished per curian | m opinion. |
| Victor W. Studevant, Appellant Pro | Se. |
| Unpublished opinions are not binding | ng precedent in this circuit. |

PER CURIAM:

Victor W. Studevant seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as successive his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Studevant has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED