UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APP	EALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	•

	No. 17-6226
RAY W. BROWN,	
Petitioner - Ap	opellant,
v.	
EARL BARKSDALE, Warden,	
Respondent - A	Appellee.
	District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at nior District Judge. (1:16-cv-01594-CMH-MSN)
Submitted: May 25, 2017	Decided: May 31, 2017
Before MOTZ, THACKER, and H	ARRIS, Circuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.
Ray W. Brown, Appellant Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Ray W. Brown seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing without prejudice his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition as successive and unauthorized. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Brown has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED