UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		
	No. 17-6296	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
BRYAN KEITH NOEL,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Dis at Asheville. Richard L. Voorhees cv-00406-RLV)		
Submitted: May 18, 2018		Decided: May 30, 2018
Before WYNN and FLOYD, Circu	it Judges, and SHED	DD, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curis	am opinion.	
Bryan Keith Noel, Appellant Pro S	e.	
Unpublished opinions are not hind	ing precedent in this	circuit

PER CURIAM:

Bryan Keith Noel seeks to appeal the district court's orders dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion, and denying his motion for reconsideration. The orders are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Noel has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED