US v. Antonio Hall Appeal: 17-6312 Doc: 14 Filed: 09/18/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

| UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS |
|--------------------------------|
| FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT |

| | No. 17-6312 |
|--|--|
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | · |
| Plaintiff - Appe | ellee, |
| v. | |
| ANTONIO R. HALL, a/k/a Mack, | |
| Defendant - Ap | ppellant. |
| _ | |
| * * | trict Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. (1:10-cr-00744-RDB-1; 1:14-cv-01693-RDB) |
| Submitted: August 31, 2017 | Decided: September 18, 2017 |
| Before MOTZ, DUNCAN, and WY | YNN, Circuit Judges. |
| Remanded by unpublished per curia | am opinion. |
| Antonio R. Hall, Appellant Pro Se Attorney, Baltimore, Maryland, for | e. John Francis Purcell, Jr., Assistant United States Appellee. |
| Unpublished opinions are not binding | ng precedent in this circuit. |

PER CURIAM:

Antonio R. Hall seeks to appeal the district court's order denying his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. Although the docketed notice of appeal was received after the expiration of the appeal period, Hall asserts that he previously delivered his original notice of appeal to prison officials for mailing on January 20, 2017, within the 60-day appeal period. Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(B). Because Hall is incarcerated, the notice is considered filed as of the date it was properly delivered to prison officials for mailing to the court. Fed. R. App. P. 4(c)(1); *Houston v. Lack*, 487 U.S. 266 (1988). The record does not conclusively establish that Hall in fact provided a notice of appeal to prison officials for mailing on January 20, 2017. Accordingly, we remand the case for the limited purpose of allowing the district court to make this finding and to determine whether Hall timely filed his notice of appeal under Fed. R. App. P. 4(c)(1) and *Houston v. Lack*. The record, as supplemented, will then be returned to this court for further consideration.

REMANDED