Filed: 07/25/2017 Pg: 1 of 3

## Doc. 406617113

## **UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEA	LS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

	No. 17-6325
LARRY JAMES TYL	ER,
Pl	aintiff - Appellant,
v.	
WAYNE BYRD,	
De	efendant - Appellee,
and	
PAUL M. BURCH; JA	MES BOGLE; J. RICHARD JONES,
D	efendants.
	ted States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at wis, District Judge. (4:16-cv-00400-MGL)
Submitted: July 20, 20	Decided: July 25, 2017
Before DUNCAN and	WYNN, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.
Affirmed by unpublish	ed per curiam opinion.
	ppellant Pro Se. Jerome Scott Kozacki, WILLCOX BUYCK & ence, South Carolina, for Appellee.

Appeal: 17-6325 Doc: 13 Filed: 07/25/2017 Pg: 2 of 3

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 17-6325 Doc: 13 Filed: 07/25/2017 Pg: 3 of 3

PER CURIAM:

Larry James Tyler appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C.

§ 1983 (2012) complaint. The district court referred this case to a magistrate judge

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) (2012). The magistrate judge recommended that

relief be denied and advised Tyler that failure to file timely objections to this

recommendation could waive appellate review of a district court order based upon the

recommendation.

The timely filing of specific objections to a magistrate judge's recommendation is

necessary to preserve appellate review of the substance of that recommendation when the

parties have been warned of the consequences of noncompliance. Wright v. Collins, 766

F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Tyler

waived appellate review by failing to file objections after receiving proper notice.

Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court.

We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are

adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the

decisional process.

**AFFIRMED** 

3