## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		•
	No. 17-6456	
MAURICE SHEPHERD,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
HARVEY CLAY, Superintendent,		
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States Dist Raleigh. James C. Dever, III, Chie		
Submitted: July 27, 2017		Decided: August 1, 2017
Before AGEE and FLOYD, Circui	t Judges, and HAMI	LTON, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Maurice Shepherd, Appellant Pro S	Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Maurice Shepherd seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Shepherd has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**