UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 17-6458		
JEROME PULLEY,			
Petitioner - A	ppellant,		
v.			
HAROLD CLARKE, Director of	Virginia Dept of Corr	rections,	
Respondent -	Appellee.		
Appeal from the United States I Alexandria. Liam O'Grady, Distri			of Virginia, at
Submitted: July 27, 2017		Decided:	August 1, 2017
Before AGEE and FLOYD, Circu	it Judges, and HAMII	LTON, Senior Circ	uit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	iam opinion.		
Jerome Pulley, Appellant Pro Se. Virginia, for Appellee.	Craig Stallard, Assis	tant Attorney Gen	eral, Richmond,
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ling precedent in this	circuit.	

PER CURIAM:

Jerome Pulley seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Pulley has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, deny Pulley's motion to appoint counsel, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED