UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_	No. 17-6479		
MICHAEL MOMENT,			
Plaintiff - Appe	ellant,		
v.			
DWIGHT DAVE JACKSON,			
Defendant - Ap	ppellee.		
_			
Appeal from the United States Dist. Paul W. Grimm, District Judge. (8:3		•	and, at Greenbelt.
Submitted: June 14, 2018		Decide	ed: June 19, 2018
Before DUNCAN, WYNN, and TH.	ACKER, Circuit Ju	dges.	
Affirmed by unpublished per curiam	n opinion.		
John J. Korzen, Vanessa Garrido, T Year Law Student, Appellate Ac SCHOOL OF LAW, Winston-Sale Assistant Attorney General, OF MARYLAND, Baltimore, Maryland	dvocacy Clinic, V m, North Carolina, FFICE OF THE	VAKE FOREST for Appellant.	T UNIVERSITY Robert A. Scott,

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Michael Moment appeals the district court's order denying relief on his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint.* We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Moment v. Jackson*, No. 8:16-cv-04040-PWG (D. Md. Feb. 15, 2017). We deny as unnecessary Moment's motion to proceed without prepayment of fees under the Prison Litigation Reform Act, grant Moment leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and deny Moment's motion for appointment of counsel. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

^{*}We previously remanded this case to the district court for the limited purpose of determining whether Moment was entitled to have his time to file an appeal reopened under Rule 4(a)(6) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure. The district court determined that Moment was entitled to a reopening of the appeal period. Accordingly, we deny Appellee's motion to dismiss the appeal as untimely.