UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_		
_	No. 17-6495	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
Plaintiff - App	ellee,	
v.		
DOLLY WADSWORTH EVANS,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
-	_	
Appeal from the United States Dist Raleigh. James C. Fox, Senior Dist		
Submitted: August 17, 2017		Decided: August 22, 2017
Before KEENAN, THACKER, and	HARRIS, Circuit J	udges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Dolly Wadsworth Evans, Appellar West, Seth Morgan Wood, Assistator for Appellee.		
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.		

PER CURIAM:

Dolly Wadsworth Evans seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on her 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Evans has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED