UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 17-6514
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Δ,
Plaintiff - App	pellee,
v.	
GARY MICHAEL ALLEN, a/k/a	Philly,
Defendant - A	appellant.
* *	District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Senior District Judge. (9:96-cr-00986-PMD-9; 9:16-
Submitted: March 29, 2018	Decided: April 2, 2018
Before AGEE and DIAZ, Circuit J	udges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.
•	F THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER, Charleston Emily Evans Limehouse, OFFICE OF THE UNITED A, South Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Gary Michael Allen seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying relief on Allen's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion and denying his Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) motion to alter or amend judgment. The orders are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Allen has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Allen's motion for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED