William Carawan, Jr. v. Helm
Appeal: 17-6545 Doc: 13 Filed: 10/23/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEAL	5
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

FOR T	THE FOURTH CIRC	CUIT	
	No. 17-6545		
WILLIAM CARAWAN, JR.,			
Plaintiff - Appe	ellant,		
V.			
HELMS, Correctional Officer, Lanesboro CI,	esboro CI; MCLEN	DON, Correction	nal Officer,
Defendants - A	ppellees.		
<u> </u>			
Appeal from the United States Dist at Charlotte. Frank D. Whitney, Ch			
Submitted: October 19, 2017		Decided:	October 23, 2017
Before NIEMEYER, MOTZ, and K	ING, Circuit Judges	S.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.		
William Carawan, Jr., Appellant Pro	o Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bindir	ng precedent in this	circuit.	

Appeal: 17-6545 Doc: 13 Filed: 10/23/2017 Pg: 2 of 2

PER CURIAM:

William Carawan, Jr., seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing his 42

U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because

the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

Parties are accorded 30 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or

order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the

appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5), or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R.

App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional

requirement." Bowles v. Russell, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court's order was entered on the docket on October 25, 2016. The

notice of appeal was filed at the earliest, on January 1, 2017. Because Carawan failed to

file a timely notice of appeal and because the district court denied his motion for an

extension of time, we dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the

facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and

argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

2