UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		·
	No. 17-6556	
ROSS JENKINS,		
Petitioner - Ap	opellant,	
v.		
MARVIN PLUMLEY,		
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States Dist Wheeling. Frederick P. Stamp, Jr.,		_
Submitted: October 31, 2017		Decided: November 3, 2017
Before WILKINSON, KING, and	DUNCAN, Circuit J	udges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curis	am opinion.	
Ross Jenkins, Appellant Pro Se. R THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, Ch	•	
Unpublished opinions are not hind	ing precedent in this	circuit

PER CURIAM:

Ross Jenkins seeks to appeal the district court's order accepting the recommendation of the magistrate judge and granting in part and denying in part his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Jenkins has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny Jenkins' motion for a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED