US v. Andrew Danzell Appeal: 17-6572 Doc: 9

Filed: 10/02/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

| - | | • |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | No. 17-6572 | |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA | ., | |
| Plaintiff - App | pellee, | |
| v. | | |
| ANDREW J. DANZELL, | | |
| Defendant - A | ppellant. | |
| | | |
| Appeal from the United States D Roanoke. Glen E. Conrad, District GEC-MFU) | | • |
| Submitted: September 28, 2017 | | Decided: October 2, 2017 |
| Before WILKINSON, MOTZ, and | KING, Circuit Judg | es. |
| Affirmed by unpublished per curia | m opinion. | |
| Andrew J. Danzell, Appellant Pro Attorney, Roanoke, Virginia, for A | - | Wolthuis, Assistant United States |
| Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. | | |

Doc. 406705703

Appeal: 17-6572 Doc: 9 Filed: 10/02/2017 Pg: 2 of 2

PER CURIAM:

Andrew J. Danzell appeals the district court's order denying his petition for a writ of mandamus. We have reviewed the record and the district court's memorandum opinion and affirm for the reasons cited by the district court. *United States v. Danzell*, Nos. 7:05-cr-00024-GEC-MFU-1; 7:09-cv-80196-GEC-MFU (W.D. Va. Apr. 13, 2017). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED