Filed: 09/28/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 17-6622	
THOMAS EBRON,		
Plaintiff - App	pellant,	
v.		
KAREN D. BROWN, Chair, VA Members,	. Parole Board; VIR	GINIA PAROLE BOARD,
Defendants - A	Appellees.	
Appeal from the United States I Richmond. Robert E. Payne, Senie		e Eastern District of Virginia, at 16-cv-00720-REP-RCY)
Submitted: September 26, 2017		Decided: September 28, 2017
Before NIEMEYER and TRAXL Judge.	ER, Circuit Judges,	and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit
Affirmed by unpublished per curia	m opinion.	
Thomas Ebron, Appellant Pro Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

Doc. 406701132

PER CURIAM:

Thomas Ebron appeals the district court's order dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) (2012). Ebron claims that the Virginia Parole Board violated his due process rights in denying him discretionary parole by not permitting him to review his file, failing to consider certain factors, and violating certain notice and review procedures. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error.

The parole board complied with the due process requirement of providing Ebron with a statement of reasons for the parole denial, *Burnette v. Fahey*, 687 F.3d 171, 181 (4th Cir. 2012), and Ebron does not have a protected liberty interest in the parole procedures themselves, *Hill v. Jackson*, 64 F.3d 163, 170 (4th Cir. 1995). While Ebron complains that the district court failed to give notice before dismissing his complaint, the district court was not required to do so under the Prison Litigation Reform Act. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2), 1915A(a), (b) (2012). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED