Lamarr Dingle v. Director, Dept of Correction
Appeal: 17-6653 Doc: 25 Filed: 10/04/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

Doc. 406710485

ON PETITION FOR REHEARING UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

_		
	No. 17-6653	
LAMARR BARTHELL DINGLE,		
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
v.		
DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTME	ENT OF CORRECTI	ONS,
Respondent - A	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States D Alexandria. Gerald Bruce Lee, Dis		
Submitted: September 27, 2017		Decided: October 4, 2017
Before DUNCAN and WYNN, Cir	cuit Judges, and HA	MILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curia	am opinion.	
Lamarr Barthell Dingle, Appella ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRO		
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ng precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Lamarr Barthell Dingle appeals the district court's order denying his motion to order a third party to submit an affidavit in Dingle's habeas proceeding. We dismiss the appeal as interlocutory.*

This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2012), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); *Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp.*, 337 U.S. 541, 545-46 (1949). The order Dingle seeks to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order. Accordingly, we deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis and dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

^{*} In a prior opinion we construed Dingle's appeal as challenging the district court's dismissal of his § 2254 petition. However, we later determined that Dingle's appeal was misdocketed through no fault of his own, and we have exercised our inherent authority to recall the mandate in this appeal and sua sponte granted rehearing by separate order. *See Calderon v. Thompson*, 523 U.S. 538, 549-50 (1998).