UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 17-6660	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	·,	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
ANTWAN HARRIS,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States Distraction Raleigh. Terrence W. Boyle, Distraction of the United States Distraction of the United Sta		
Submitted: August 24, 2017		Decided: August 29, 2017
Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, a	and SHEDD and DIA	Z, Circuit Judges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Antwan Harris, Appellant Pro Se STATES ATTORNEY, Seth Mor North Carolina, for Appellee.		
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Antwan Harris seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as successive his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Harris has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED