Jeremy P. DeFour v. Director of Dept of Correction
Appeal: 17-6822 Doc: 10 Filed: 09/28/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

## Doc. 406701154

## **UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEAL	S
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

	No. 17-6822	
JEREMY P. DEFOUR,		
Petitioner - A	ppellant,	
v.		
DIRECTOR OF DEPARTMENT	OF CORRECTIONS	OF VIRGINIA,
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States I Alexandria. James C. Cacheris, So		e Eastern District of Virginia, at (1:17-cv-00605-JCC-TCB)
Submitted: September 26, 2017		Decided: September 28, 2017
Before NIEMEYER and TRAXL Judge.	ER, Circuit Judges,	and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	iam opinion.	
Jeremy Paul DeFour, Appellant Pr	o Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ling precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Jeremy Paul DeFour seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that DeFour has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**