Filed: 10/20/2017 Pg: 1 of 3

## **UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS	S
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT	

	No. 17-6912	
DAVID MICHAEL MONTGOME	ERY,	
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,	
and		
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY G	ENERAL,	
Party-in-Inte	rest,	
V.		
STATE OF MARYLAND,		
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States Dis Ellen L. Hollander, District Judge.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Submitted: October 17, 2017		Decided: October 20, 2017
Before FLOYD and HARRIS, Circ	cuit Judges, and HAI	MILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
David Michael Montgomery, App	ellant Pro Se. Thon	nas E. Dernoga, OFFICE OF THE

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MARYLAND, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.

Appeal: 17-6912 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/20/2017 Pg: 2 of 3

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

David Michael Montgomery, a state prisoner, seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Montgomery has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, deny Montgomery's motion to appoint counsel, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**