US v. Ryan Fultz
Appeal: 17-6948 Doc: 11 Filed: 10/24/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-6948	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff - Appell	ee,
v.	
RYAN CHRISTOPHER FULTZ,	
Defendant - Appellant.	
	rict Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at a, Jr., Senior District Judge. (4:13-cr-00026-HCM-
Submitted: October 19, 2017	Decided: October 24, 2017
Before NIEMEYER, MOTZ, and KING, Circuit Judges.	
Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ro Se. Kaitlin Courtney Gratton, Assistant United Iurphy, Special Assistant United States Attorney,

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Ryan Christopher Fultz seeks to appeal the district court's orders denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion and denying his Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) motion. The orders are not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Fultz has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**