UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 17-6966	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Α,	
Plaintiff - Ap	pellee,	
v.		
RUSSELL GLENN BURNETT,		
Defendant - A	Appellant.	
Appeal from the United States Di Ellen L. Hollander, District Judge.		District of Maryland, at Baltimore. H-1; 1:16-cv-02142-ELH)
Submitted: November 20, 2017		Decided: November 28, 2017
Before NIEMEYER, MOTZ, and	AGEE, Circuit Judge	S.
Dismissed by unpublished per cur	iam opinion.	
		ael Cunningham, Assistant United ATES ATTORNEY, Baltimore,
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.		

PER CURIAM:

Russell Glenn Burnett seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing as untimely his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Burnett has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED