US v. Hassan Hine Appeal: 17-6968 Doc: 5 Filed: 10/03/2017 Pg: 1 of 2

## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

<del>-</del>		
_	No. 17-6968	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
Plaintiff - Appe	ellee,	
v.		
HASSAN GENELL HINES,		
Defendant - Ap	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. Terrence W. Boyle, District Judge. (5:07-cr-00323-BO-1)		
Submitted: September 28, 2017		Decided: October 3, 2017
Before WILKINSON, MOTZ, and I	KING, Circuit Judgo	es.
Affirmed by unpublished per curian	n opinion.	
Hassan Genell Hines, Appellant Pro Se. Matthew Fesak, Stephen Aubry West, Assistant United States Attorneys, Michael Gordon James, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellee.		

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 17-6968 Doc: 5 Filed: 10/03/2017 Pg: 2 of 2

## PER CURIAM:

Hassan Genell Hines appeals the district court's order denying his 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) (2012) motion as moot. On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the Appellant's brief. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Hines's informal brief does not challenge the basis for the district court's disposition, Hines has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. *See Williams v. Giant Food Inc.*, 370 F.3d 423, 430 n.4 (4th Cir. 2004). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**AFFIRMED**