

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 17-7009**

---

JOVON DAVIS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

KEELING, Warden; DR. JAMES BROCKINGTON; SYED RAZA, Dr., I.C.C.C.  
Tel-med Mitt Clinic; JAMES "KEELING," Warden; PAMELA WOODS, Unit  
Manager; G. A. HADEN,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS; HAROLD CLARK, Virginia  
D.O.C. Director; LT. TAYLOR; OFFICER POWERS, Correctional Officer,

Defendants.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at  
Alexandria. Claude M. Hilton, Senior District Judge. (1:16-cv-00327-CMH-TCB)

---

Submitted: December 21, 2017

Decided: December 28, 2017

---

Before WILKINSON and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit  
Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Jovon Davis, Appellant Pro Se. Laura Maughan, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY  
GENERAL OF VIRGINIA, Richmond, Virginia, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Jovon Davis appeals the district court's order denying his motion for summary judgment and dismissing his complaint filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012), the Americans with Disabilities Act, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended. On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the Appellant's brief. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Davis' informal brief does not sufficiently challenge the bases for the district court's disposition, Davis has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. *See Williams v. Giant Food Inc.*, 370 F.3d 423, 430 n.4 (4th Cir. 2004). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment.\* We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*

---

\* We have considered and reject Davis' contention that the district court abused its discretion in denying the appointment of counsel. *See Miller v. Simmons*, 814 F.2d 962, 966 (4th Cir. 1987) (stating standard of review).