## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 17-7140	
LAMARR BARTHELL DINGLE,		
Petitioner - Ap	opellant,	
v.		
DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMI	ENT OF CORRECT	IONS,
Respondent -	Appellee.	
Appeal from the United States E Alexandria. Gerald Bruce Lee, Dis		•
Submitted: March 19, 2018		Decided: April 9, 2018
Before DUNCAN and WYNN, Cir	cuit Judges, and HA	MILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Lamarr Barthell Dingle, Appellant	Pro Se.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Lamarr Barthell Dingle seeks to appeal the district court's interlocutory order denying his motion to subpoena a witness in his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) proceeding. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2012), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); *Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp.*, 337 U.S. 541, 545-46 (1949). The order Dingle seeks to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory or collateral order. Accordingly, we deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, deny Dingle's motion to appoint counsel, and dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction.\* We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

<sup>\*</sup> Dingle's appeal of the district court's judgment dismissing his § 2254 petition, No. 17-7107, was remanded to the district court for the limited purpose of determining whether the notice of appeal should be deemed timely. If the appeal is found to be timely, Dingle may challenge the discovery ruling at issue in this case, as well as the final judgment on his § 2254 petition, when the appeal is returned to this court.