## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-7180	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff - Appellee,	
v.	
RODERICK LAMAR WILLIAMS,	
Defendant - Appellant.	
Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, Statesville. Richard L. Voorhees, Senior District Judge. (5:03-cr-00004-RLV-DSC-5:16-cv-00132-RLV)	
Submitted: November 21, 2017 Decided: November 28, 201	17
Before WYNN and THACKER, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge	
Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.	
Roderick Lamar Williams, Appellant Pro Se. Amy Elizabeth Ray, Assistant United State Attorney, Asheville, North Carolina, for Appellee.	es
Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.	

## PER CURIAM:

Roderick Lamar Williams seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Williams has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**