## UNPUBLISHED

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 17-7314	
AARON DOXIE, III, a/k/a Aharor	n Azaryah Nearyah H	akahan,
Petitioner - A	ppellant,	
v.		
JEFFREY N. DILLMAN, Ward Department of Corrections,	den; HAROLD CL	ARKE, Director, Virginia
Respondents -	- Appellees.	
Appeal from the United States I Norfolk. Arenda L. Wright Allen,		
Submitted: February 8, 2018		Decided: February 16, 2018
Before WILKINSON, KING, and	FLOYD, Circuit Judg	ges.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.	
Aaron Doxie, III, Appellant Pro Se	2.	
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Aaron Doxie, III, seeks to appeal the district court's order denying his motion to alter or amend judgment and request under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) for reconsideration of its prior order dismissing his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) (2012); Reid v. Angelone, 369 F.3d 363, 369 (4th Cir. 2004). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Slack, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Doxie has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability, deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**