UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

•		
	No. 17-7394	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	••	
Plaintiff - App	pellee,	
v.		
COREY E. WHITE,		
Defendant - A	ppellant.	
Appeal from the United States II Norfolk. Rebecca Beach Smith, C cv-00510-RBS)		9
Submitted: December 21, 2017	_	Decided: December 28, 2017
Before WILKINSON and DUNC. Judge.	AN, Circuit Judges,	and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit
Dismissed by unpublished per curi-	am opinion.	
Corey E. White, Appellant Pro S UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, I		_

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Corey E. White seeks to appeal the district court's order denying his successive 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2012) motion. The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(B) (2012). A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2012). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims is debatable or wrong. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that White has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal.* We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are

^{*} Although the district court should have dismissed the motion for lack of jurisdiction because it was an unauthorized successive motion, the order is nonetheless not appealable because White cannot establish entitlement to a certificate of appealability.

adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED